For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6	
7	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., No. C 10-03561 WHA
8	Plaintiff,
9	v. ORDER GRANTING PRÉCIS
10	GOOGLE INC., TO FILE MOTION TO AMEND INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS
11	Defendant.
12	/
13	Plaintiff Oracle seeks to amend its infringement contentions and supplement its expert
14	infringement report to account for the Court's construction of "generating, at runtime" in the '205
15	patent. Oracle argues that the proposed amendment does not change its theory of infringement
16	but merely "identif[ies] additional evidence that the accused Android software" infringes under
17	the Court's construction. Google opposes and argues that Oracle seeks to advance a new
18	infringement theory.
19	The Court would benefit from a full record identifying the proposed amendments.
20	Oracle's précis request to file its motion is GRANTED . This is without prejudice to denying the
21	motion after reviewing the full record. The briefing schedule shall be as follows. Oracle must
22	file its motion by NOON ON MARCH 12. Google must file its opposition by NOON ON MARCH 16
23	Any reply must be filed by NOON ON MARCH 19.
24	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	01
27	Dated: March 7, 2012. WILLIAM ALSUP
28	United States District Judge